



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 10

DUKE W YEE  
CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON LLP  
PO Box 802334  
Dallas, TX 75380

MAIL

SEP - 8 2003

DIRECTOR OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

ON PETITION

In re Application of:  
Hatim Y. Amro et al :  
Application No. 09/383,738 :  
Filed: August 26, 1999 :  
For: **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
MAXIMIZING EFFICIENCY OF SMALL  
DISPLAY IN A DATA PROCESSING  
SYSTEM**

This is a decision on the request filed November 18, 2002, to withdraw the holding of abandonment. The request is being treated as a petition under MPEP 711.03(c)(II) and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.181(a). No fee is required.

A Notice of Abandonment was mailed October 15, 2002 for failure to timely submit a response to the Office action mailed December 18, 2001.

Petitioner alleges that no Office action was received.

In support of his allegation, petitioner has made of a statement of non-receipt of the Office action, a statement attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received; and has submitted a copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received.

A review of the file record indicates that there was an irregularity in the mailing of the Office action of December 18, 2001, as it was improperly addressed but neither copy mailed as both copies of the Office action are in the file wrapper.

Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The Notice of Abandonment is hereby vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn. The correspondence address of record has been corrected to that shown above. The petition included a response to the Office action of December 18, 2001. Since the abandonment is withdrawn, the response is considered timely. The Office action of December 18, 2001 will not be re-mailed. The application file is being forwarded to the Technology Center's technical support staff for entry of the response. From there, the file will be forwarded to the examiner for further action in due course.

*Ronald J. Enright*

For Joseph J. Rolla, Jr., Director  
Technology Center 2600  
Communications